

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/177,040

Applicant(s)

WADA ET AL.

Examiner

Alan Diamond

Art Unit

1753

**All Participants:**(1) Alan Diamond.(2) Matthew Connors.**Status of Application:** amended

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 29 October 2003**Time:** 10:55 a.m.**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to amend page 7 of the specification and Figure 2 as is set forth in the Examiner's Amendment. The Examiner noted that it is still somewhat difficult to read the text in Figure 7. However, it was agreed that said text in Figure 7 is not critical to allowing the instant claims. Thus, the Examiner said that he has accepted said Figure 7.